

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,312	12/30/2005	Robert H Wohleb	090238-00177	6945	
30903 CRAIN, CATO	7590 04/27/2010 ON & IAMES	EXAMINER			
FIVE HOUST	ON CENTER		LUDLOW, JAN M		
HOUSTON, T	NEY, 17TH FLOOR X 77010	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1797	7	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/27/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

wjensen@craincaton.com jhudson@craincaton.com ipdocket@craincaton.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,312	WOHLEB ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jan M. Ludlow	1797		

	Jan M. Ludlow	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (ii) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on</li></ol>							
AMENDMENTS							
\times The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because     (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: <u>Limitations to "liquid phase" are new issue</u>							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because continuation Sheet.							
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   13.  Other:							
/Jan M. Ludlow/							
	/Jan M. Ludlow/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1797					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In Karinkanta, the analytes are separated (partitioned) by TLC and the solvent portion of the sample evaporated (removed) prior to desorbing the analytes.